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Brexit added £2bn to UK energy bills in year after referendum, report claims

Households paid an extra £75 a year in wake of vote which experts warn has been ‘principal factor’ driving up bills over past two years.
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Brexit has already added billions to the UK public’s energy bills and leaving without a deal could wreak further havoc and cost the average household an extra £61 a year, according to research by University College London.

The 2016 referendum has been the “principal factor” driving up gas and electricity bills over the past two years, driven largely by the collapse in the value of the pound and additional volatility in wholesale prices, the authors said.

As a result, households paid an extra £75 each, on average, in the year after the vote following an 18 per cent rise in wholesale electricity prices and a 16 per cent hike in gas.

The report, commissioned by energy watchdog Ofgem, sought to establish the key drivers of energy price increases in the UK and other European states between 2012 to 2017, and found a spike in prices in the wake of the referendum.

It warns that leaving without a deal is likely to further deflate the value of sterling, knocking around 12 per cent of its value relative to the euro, amounting to a further £6bn hike by March 2021.
Introduction

- Brexit referendum pushed up energy prices by 18%
  - £39 ± 6 / MWh before, £46 ± 13 / MWh after

(Castagneto Gissey et al. 2018, Ofgem)
Introduction

- The UK is in the process of leaving the EU
- EU energy market regulations may not apply in 9 weeks
- It is conceivable that the UK could leave the Single Electricity Market

**We ask two questions:**

1. What does leaving the Single Electricity Market (Elecxit) mean and imply for the electricity system?
2. What are the medium-term (2030) costs of Elecxit?
Impact channels for Elecxit

- **Fees for electricity exchange**
  - Transmission system use fee for 3rd country

- **European institutions**
  - ENTSO-E and ACER
  - Carbon pricing (EU-ETS)
  - Renewable Energy Directive
  - Euratom (inc. fusion)

- **Market coupling**
  - Single platform for balancing, day ahead, intraday, forwarding interconnector capacity

- **Investment in infrastructure**
  - Expected interconnection: 4GW → 12GW
  - Financing at risk: EU funding, reduced profitability, uncertainty.

**Elecxit scenario:**
1. Reduced interconnector capacity expansion
2. Market uncoupling

(DG ENER, UKERC, Chatham House, KPMG, Vivid)
Simplified model of electricity trading in coupled markets…
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Not just timing differences:
Perfect information flow…
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The hard way to trade power

- When markets were uncoupled, traders made mistakes…
- 0.2% cost in total welfare
Modelling uncoupled markets

Model to measure the noise…

- Bilateral equilibrium model of trade (with losses and capacity constraints)
- Applied to the “natural experiment” 2009 (uncoupled) and 2017 (coupled):

- 2.3% losses
- 1060 MW error from uncoupling
Simulation: Elecxit costs

- **Market modelling:**
  - distortion depends on 1. supply curvature, 2. size of the anticipation error, and 3. transmission capacity

- **Simulation of Elecxit 2030:**
  - ENTSO-E Vision 3: renewable capacity doubles; €71/tCO₂
  - renewable output profiles generated with Renewables.ninja*
  - load profiles generated with the DESSTINEE model†
  - Assume anticipation error scales with renewable capacity

- **Elecxit scenarios:**
  - market uncoupling = – market coupling;
    Revert to conditions of uncoupled markets (2009)
  - limited interconnector investment not 10 GW but 5 GW

Results / Conclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welfare gain [% of market value]</th>
<th>Interconnector Capacity Scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 GW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Design Scenario</td>
<td>Uncoupled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Elecxit** in the more uncertain future markets may cost **6 times** as much as market coupling improved welfare in the past

- Losing market coupling might cost more than infrastructure

- 60% of losses are felt in GB, so annual cost belongs here:
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